An Energy Dispersion Estimate.

Armin Uhlmann

University of Leipzig, Dept. of Physics

Given a curve of density operators $t \mapsto \varrho = \varrho(t)$ which is a solution of

$$i\hbar\dot{\varrho} = [H, \varrho], \qquad H = H(t) \tag{1}$$

it is assumed $\varrho_j = \varrho(t_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $t_1 < t_2$.
Using an idea of [1] it is my aim to derive the apriori inequality

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}\varrho H^2 - (\mathrm{tr}\varrho H)^2}\, dt \;\geq\; \hbar\, \gamma_{12} \tag{2}$$

where

$$0 \leq \gamma_{12} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad \cos\gamma_{12} = \tau_{12} := \mathrm{tr}\sqrt{\varrho_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varrho_2\varrho_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{3}$$

The physical meaning of the quantity τ_{12} is as follows [2]: Let be ψ_1, ψ_2 two pure vector states of a (possibly fictitious) larger quantum system and let their reduced density operators coincide with ϱ_1, ϱ_2. Then $|<\psi_1, \psi_2>|$ should be not bigger than τ_{12}, and τ_{12} is the smallest number with that property. In short, τ_{12} is the supremum of $|<\psi_1, \psi_2>|$ if the pair of unit vectors ψ_1, ψ_2 run through all possible simultaneous purifications of the pair ϱ_1, ϱ_2.

In case the Hamiltonian is time independent, the expectation values $\bar{E}, \bar{E}^2$ of H and H^2 are constants of motion and inequality (2) simplifies to

$$\Delta t\, \Delta E \;\geq\; \hbar\, \arccos\tau_{12} \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta t = t_2 - t_1,\ \Delta E = \sqrt{\bar{E}^2 - (\bar{E})^2} \tag{4}$$

A further important special case appears if $\varrho(t) = |\varphi(t)><\varphi(t)|$ describes a curve of pure states which is a solution of a Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\dot{\varphi} = H\varphi$. Now equation (4) looks like

$$\Delta t\, \Delta E \;\geq\; \hbar\, \arccos|<\varphi(t_1), \varphi(t_2)>| \tag{5}$$

Finely, if $\varphi(t_1)$ and $\varphi(t_2)$ are orthogonal then the right hand side of (5) takes its maximal value $h/4$. This is the result of Anandan and Aharonov [1].

The *proof* of (2), (3) is in two steps, and will be done for non-singular density operators. The general case follows by continuity.
The first step starts by lifting the curve of density operators into the Hilbert space of Hilbert Schmidt operators, W, with scalar product

$$<W, W'> = \mathrm{tr}\, W'W^* \tag{6}$$

i.e. we purify this curve by an ansatz

$$t \mapsto W = W(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \varrho(t) = WW^* \tag{7}$$

There is a gauge freedom $W(t) \to W(t)U(t)$ with arbitrary unitaries $U(t)$ for these purifications. The freedom can be diminished by choosing a curve (7) which is as short as possible in the metric given by the scalar product (6). This variational demand produces the parallelity condition [3, 4, 5]

$$W^* \dot{W} \; = \; \dot{W}^* W \tag{8}$$

(8) can be satisfied, as shown in [5], by a Schrödinger motion

$$i\hbar \dot{W} = HW - W\tilde{W}, \qquad \tilde{H} = \tilde{H}^* \tag{9}$$

where

$$\tilde{H} = 2W^* Y W \quad \text{with} \quad H = \varrho Y + Y \varrho \tag{10}$$

and the last equation uniquely determines an operator $Y = Y(t)$. Inserting (9) into (8) yields

$$2W^* H W = W^* W \tilde{H} + \tilde{H} W^* W \tag{11}$$

As is easily seen (9) is compatible with (1) and implies in addition

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\varrho} = [\tilde{H}, \tilde{\varrho}] \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\varrho} = W^* W \tag{12}$$

Now inserting (9) into $< \dot{W}, \dot{W} >$ and using (11) gives

$$\hbar^2 \; < \dot{W}, \dot{W} > = \mathrm{tr} \varrho H^2 - \mathrm{tr} \tilde{\varrho} \tilde{H}^2 \tag{13}$$

Taking the trace of (11) yields

$$\mathrm{tr} \tilde{\varrho} \tilde{H} \; = \mathrm{tr} \varrho H \tag{14}$$

Taking this into account one gets by the help of Schwarz inequality from (13) the relation

$$\mathrm{tr} \varrho H^2 - (\mathrm{tr} \varrho H)^2 \geq \hbar^2 \; < \dot{W}, \dot{W} > \tag{15}$$

with which step one of the proof is done.

The final step is in proving

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{< \dot{W}, \dot{W} >} dt \; \geq \; \gamma_{12} \tag{16}$$

which gives, together with (15), the desired inequality (2).
This will be done by the aid of the Bures metrics [6]. To calculate the Bures distance between ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 one considers a general simultaneous purification

$$W_1 = W(t_1)\, U_1, \quad W_2 = W(t_2)\, U_2, \qquad \varrho_j = W_j\, W_j^* \tag{17}$$

in order to get

$$\| \; \varrho_2 - \varrho_1 \; \|_{\mathrm{Bures}} = \inf \sqrt{< W_1 - W_2, W_1 - W_2 >} = \sqrt{2 - 2\tau_{12}} \tag{18}$$

where the infimum runs through the unitaries U_1, U_2. Then τ_{12} is given by (3). The proof of (18) can be found in [7, 2].
Now let be W_1, W_2 a pair of points on the unit sphere $\mathrm{tr}WW^* = 1$. Its Hilbert space distance d equals $d = 2\sin(\gamma/2)$ where γ denotes the length of a geodesic arc on the unit sphere connecting W_1, W_2. This follows because the geodesic arc is part of a large circle on the unit sphere crossing W_1, W_2. But the length of any curve on the unit sphere of the W-space connecting W_1 and W_2 cannot be shorter than γ. Therefore, having in mind (18) and (3), the left hand side of (16) cannot be smaller than γ_{12}. However, γ_{12} fulfills

$$2\sin\frac{\gamma_{12}}{2} = \sqrt{2-2\tau_{12}} = \| \varrho_2 - \varrho_1 \|_{\mathrm{Bures}} \tag{19}$$

and this relation implies (3). This finishes the proof.

Remarks: 1) Appropriate changes of the operator H and the parameter t produce mathematically equivalent but physically different inequalities. As an example one may choose instead of H the projection of angular momentum onto an axis and the rotation angle instead of the time parameter.
2) Under the condition (8) the right hand side of (15) is equal to the line element of the Bures metric (up to the square of Planck's constant and restricted to the density operators). Defining G by

$$\dot{\varrho} = \varrho G + G\varrho \tag{20}$$

according to [4, 8] one gets $\dot{W} = GW$ for curves satisfying the parallelity condition (8), and this gives

$$\left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2_{\mathrm{Bures}} = \mathrm{tr}\,\varrho G^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,G\dot{\varrho} \tag{21}$$

Thus the inequality (15) can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{tr}\varrho H^2 - (\mathrm{tr}\varrho H)^2 \geq \hbar^2\,\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,G\dot{\varrho} \tag{22}$$

3) Restricting on pure states there is no need in step one of the given proof. Furthermore, for pure states the metric of Bures is nothing than the Fubini-Studi metric. Based on that, the inequality (5) - also in the form including time dependent Hamiltonians - has been derived already in [12].
4) The square of τ_{12} as given by (3) has been called *(generalized) transition probability* in [2]. Using results of [9] it could be shown in [10] that the same expression results from a quite different definition given in [11].
For useful discussions I like to thank *P. M. Alberti, J. Anandan, B. Crell, M. Hübner,* and *G. Rudolph.*

References

1) J. Anandan and Y. Aharonov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** , 1697, 1991

2) A. Uhlmann, Rep. Math. Phys. **9**, 273, 1976

3) A. Uhlmann, Rep. Math. Phys. **24**, 229, 1986

4) L. Dabrowski and A. Jadczyk, Quantum Statistical Holonomy. preprint, Trieste 1988

5) A. Uhlmann, Lett. Math. Phys. **21**, 229, 1991

6) D. J. C. Bures, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. **135**, 119, 1969

7) H. Araki, RIMS-151, Kyoto 1973

8) A. Uhlmann, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **46**, 63, 1989

9) H. Araki and G. A. Raggio, Lett. Math. Phys. **6**, 237, 1982

10) P. M. Alberti and A. Uhlmann, Lett. Math. Phys. **7**, 163, 1983

11) V. Cantoni, Comm. Math. Phys. **44**, 125, 1975

12) J. S. Anandan, A Geometric View of Quantum Mechanics. In: Quantum Coherence, J. S. Anandan (ed.), World Scientific, 1990.