

NATO/UNITED KO-GB SPREADING UKRAINIAN (SLAVONIC) GENOCIDE

Since December 19 (2007), newly elected ukrainian parliament still unable to make any definite decision concerning possible head of ukrainian security service.

Who comes to us with a sword dies from the sword.
Novgorod Veliki, 1242 (A. Nevsky)

- 1. Why ukrainian parliament decides the question of NATO membership**
- 2. Who is responsible**
- 3. Ukraine is a slavic country**
- 4. Some further perspectives**

The following brief survey may be considered as some comments to the recent campaign organized by the current state leaders of Ukraine aimed to share NATO's membership actions plan during the approaching spring summit of NATO in Bucharest (April 2-5, 2008).

1. Why ukrainian parliament decides the question of NATO membership

On Tuesday, April 10 (2007) the 43d president of USA, G.W.Bush (elected from republican party) signed the bill ordering \$10 mln as the help for Ukraine to join NATO. Because of huge quantity of other resolutions and bills passing through the senate's approval, this particular act was ratified possibly remaining unknown to the people living inside the USA. Since senate currently represents the majority of democrats, the corresponding bill actually reflects the official desire of at least of one political party of USA for at least 8 forthcoming years, and this does not seem to be reacted by anyone in USA.

In fact \$10 mln is not the amount somebody should look at. For example, the former secretary of ukrainian security and defence service is an official proprietor of more than two billions dollars and this does not seem to disturb anyone in Ukraine. The state debt of Ukraine is between \$19 billions (according to the state estimates) and \$45 billions (including the debts of regions and of corporations controlled by the state, i.e. 43% of total year product of Ukraine according to the estimates of the World Bank by the date).

The most remarkable is that USA government face to its 231 anniversary judiciously confirmed the will for east-slavonic (e.g. ukrainian) control over Europe, in which the majority of USA citizens have no interest.

Since the question of ukrainian (east-slavonic) military presence in europe, this time considering in terms of ukrainian (south-west russian) acceptance to NATO (the North Atlantic treaty organization), now is the subject and concerns only the european state institutions and the european peoples, it is natural to suppose that the corresponding feature (ukrainian attendance to NATO) is a subject of possible decisive consideration of the respectful EU/NATO institutions. And the mentioned "initial push" simply reflects the steady pressure on the current USA administration by its european colleagues in NATO, EU, etc. The possible motivation becomes more clear especially taking into account

useless attempts of USA to fight alone against communist Warsaw Pact Union during the so-called "cold war" (korean war 1949-1952, vietnam war 1954-1974, cuban missile crisis 1962-1963, etc.), while its official "ally" NATO had been using to watch from the overseas. The recent military conflicts show that USA is still being used by NATO as some strong and useful vanguard which behavior should be determined by the rule "feel free having done the deal".

From this point of view the mentioned US congress resolution may be seen as nothing else but an attempt to put the responsibility on those actually responsible, i.e. on current NATO/EU administration(s).

Since the situation with the mentioned western institutions should be more known to the people in the western countries rather than in the former-soviet union, let us leave the detailed analysis (concerning EU/NATO itself) to the people currently leaving in Europe whom the corresponding problem directly concerns. The rest of the article is devoted to the situation seen from the other side's interested party that is Ukraine.

For the first time reader who is not acquainted with Ukraine, the above reasoning however may have no sense. The intuitive reasons explaining the US senate's resolution welcoming east-slavonic (russian, ukrainian, belorussian) control over NATO, may be seen as follows.

- 1) Ukraine, or ukrainian people are relative to the majority or current majority representing NATO or EU.
- 2) NATO plans repulsion of possible russian aggression with ukrainian help.
- 3) NATO is no longer required, and its visible extension to the east is nothing else but some formal procedure simplifying its further self-dissolval.
- 4) Europe is afraid of the possible nuclear conflict and supposes to protect itself by the east-slavonic population.
- 5) NATO is an antidemocratic reactionary organization used to establish slavonic (east-slavonic) control over for instance independent non-slavonic countries and their population.
- 6) NATO's extend to the east follows the corresponding EU's extend organized initially by Hannover/UK/former USSR (since 1698), and all the officially slavic countries (including those that already have joined EU).
- 7) NATO is fighting against communism.
- 8) NATO is struggling against terrorism.
- 9) NATO will protect the young and independent Ukraine from external aggressions.

The following brief survey provides some evidence that the only reasons 5,6) above could be considered seriously. In this case they should be made publicly known first of all to the non-slavonic people(s) whom they are concerned.

Even the first glance at the subject allows to suspect that the intended ukrainian connection to NATO is nothing else than the next in turn "troyan-type" operation that had been initially organized by Hannover/UK and is currently supported by the former-soviet Ko-GB in order to achieve control over for instance independent european countries with originally non-slavonic native population.

Ukraine is actually some small part of extended former-soviet union, and in many cases can be considered as south-west russia. The difference between Ukraine and Russia considering the ethnic content of the native population (including language, culture, traditions, religion) and the economical development as well can be compared with the corresponding difference between Austria and Germany, or between Portugal and Spain. This however does not concern the size of territory: Ukraine composes only about 1/30 part of the territory of Russian Federation, but at the same time it is the largest for territory and raw resources comparing with the countries of europe.

Caused by the historical reasons and by the recent immigration process, officially russians compose at least 25% of the current population of Ukraine, while ukrainians cannot be in any sense considered as aliens anywhere in the former-soviet union. In many cases, the language is the only way to distinguish the russian and the ukrainian representatives of the corresponding east-slavic community.

Although the language and traditions of ukrainians and russians are exceptionally close one to another, the general difference in cultural philosophy however may reflect the different geographical position of the regions representing majority of the corresponding east-slavic nation. In particular, the russian state has common borders not only with european countries, but also in Asia, Far-east and directly with USA (Alaska, the former russian province, became a state of USA only in XIX AD).

This means that announced NATO membership plan of Ukraine can result in general extend of ukrainian (slavonic) genocide to the related territory of adjoint RF, and thus is actually dangerous not only to the EU's local population, but to the people all around the world.

From this point of view it is at least strange to know that the rest of the countries-members of NATO, and in particular the non-slavic european nations are not supposed to be inquired on the subject, or their opinion is not supposed to be taken into account. To compare, the question of ukrainian will to attend to NATO will be officially decided by ukrainian people via referendum. Russian people can also express their opinion via delivering/non-delivering the different raw resources (such as gas, fuel, electricity, etc.) via ukrainian territory.

Therefore the following comments are addressed first of all to the non-slavonic people living inside USA and EU who may possibly stay uninformed on the subject or have an opportunity to affect personally the corresponding “political” decision of the current NATO/EU rulers.

On April 2, 2007 the president of Ukraine (Yushchenko) signed the bill ordering the extraordinary appointment of the new government via out-of-time elections to the parliament. Although an additional decision of the constitutional court was required to confirm the legitimacy of the president’s order, it might had been explained as an attempt to avoid the new state law (“The law of the ministry cabinet” of February 1, 2007) accepted by the parliament which essentially restricted the real power of the president. Taking into account the just announced by the international press plans of USA administration for the preventive attack on Iran (prescribed for April 5, 2007), the corresponding law possibly did not permit the ukrainian president to realize certain promises for Pentagon or NATO.

The subsequent parliament elections (September 30, 2007) showed the majority will of about 46% of the population that participated in the elections to form the new government. The new prime-minister (who already had been holding the office immediately after the presidential elections took place at the end of 2004) was appointed on December 18, 2007. The former prime-minister (leader of the “party of regions” that received about 34% of votes representing in particular the russian-speaking electorate) had to resign. In the new parliament the majority coalition (including the party of the current president which received about 14% of votes) had got quota of 228 representing parliamentary members, against 222 parliamentary members representing opposition. The communist party received support of about 5%, and the party of the former-speaker of about 3% of the active electorate.

However, the corresponding parliamentary elections were considered as successful, because the current non-formal head of ukrainian security service (Nalivaichenko) was proposed by the president for ratification by the new parliament for the next term (December 19, 2007). Since that date, the newly elected ukrainian parliament cannot decide neither to confirm, nor to decline the named candidate. In spite of this, the situation with “the two votes advantage” and the unworking parliament seems to be desirable by the current power as the real purpose of the passed elections.

On January 15, 2008 the president, the prime-minister and the parliamentary speaker signed the semi-official letter (that was not ratified by the corresponding state institutions) to NATO’s general secretary as a request for Ukraine to join the corresponding membership actions plan (in spite of the current economical and political crisis in the country). The party of regions and communist party had been blocking the parliament under slogans “NATO NET/NI” protesting against ukrainian attend to alliance, until on March 6, 2008 there was ratified the agreement between the majority coalition and opposition to make a decision via referendum.

Thus the real background of the current events in Ukraine may be seen as follows: the parliament is destroyed in order to carry out the suitable decision by the forthcoming referendum that should express “the people’s will” of Ukraine to quickly join NATO.

Current ukrainian administration uses its military, political and business influence on USA and NATO administrations aimed to push the respective organizations to accept Ukraine as the new member.

The opinion of the non-slavic peoples that until recently had composed the majority in the named organizations seems as something no-one is interested to learn about.

The head of ukrainian Ko-GB seems to be appointed only after the forthcoming NATO summit in Bukharest depending on its results.

At the same time, the leaders of political opposition, and the electoral basis of the communist party are being suppressed (by means of corruption or with obvious help of ukrainian Ko-GB). In particular, the former minister of police, the former minister of transport, and hundreds others former-responsible officials are being convicted in different kind of crimes (mainly in corruption). Since November 18, 2007 accidents in the Donbass coal mines became usual events resulted not only in physical death of hundreds people, but also in the governmental decision to close some mines (hundreds thousands work places).

Meanwhile the leaders of the current communist party (Simonenko) and of the recently created alternative communist party as well might seem not only to ignore the corresponding situation of the coal mines workers. As a result, the corresponding electorate of the communist party of Ukraine had been essentially reduced (from the level of about 25%) during the latter several years.

The ukrainian component of actually united former-soviet Ko-GB remains invariant independently of any public elections forming south-western part of “the union of independent states” (or Former-Soviet Union), and currently is using all its power to suppress any opposite move in the country. Known examples counts mainly the police, accidental and death statistics.

Illegal imprisonment, punitive psychiatry, general violations of human and citizen rights of the people considered as non-loyal to the current political course or even convicted in the political thought-crimes against current regime are widely used in the modern Ukraine since 1993, when Ukraine had joined the NATO’s program “partnership for peace”.

However, this seems only to be a beginning of some more extended process that could be entitled as ukrainian national communism (ukrainian fascism) and could come in life immediately after Ukraine joins NATO.

2. Who is responsible

At the end of December 2007 the prime-minister of UK Brown signed the bill ordering UK to join European Union. This was not accompanied by any public discussion or referendum (however there is not expected any kind of “public opinion” in UK other than slavonic prescription or order).

On January 1, 2008 Slovenia suddenly and out of turn became “the president of EU” (headquarters in Brussels, Belgium, the supreme commissar responsible for defence and security H.Solana) for the next six months term instead of France. This hadn’t been neither publicly decided anywhere in Europe, nor openly discussed anywhere in press in advance.

On February 5, 2008 the World Trade Organization (headquarters in Geneve, Switzerland, current president P.Lami) suddenly accepted Ukraine as its permanent member in spite of the economical crisis (inflation for the previous year had overcome level of 20% and only in January-February 2008 explicitly composed at least 6%) and visible political crisis in the country.

In the middle of February, 2008 following referendum in Kosovo (that declared state independence of the corresponding autonomy of the former Yugoslavia), NATO introduced its military forces up to the administrative boarder with Serbia. Not estimating degree of the military importance of the named operation, notice that in the preceded elections in Serbia (beginning of February, 2008) there was elected as president the supporter of european-oriented political course. The escalation of the military conflict in Balkans followed “the 44 annual NATO conference for security policy” (Munich, February 9-11, 2008) with participation of the defence minister of RF (S.Ivanov) and of the recently appointed defence minister of Ukraine. The subsequent NATO’s meeting in Kyev was associated with the parallel visit of Ukrainian president (Yushchenko) to Moscow (February 12, 2008) for the formal reason of regulation of the gas/fuel transit from Russia. On February 21-23, in Moscow took place the “non-formal meeting” of former-soviet union with participation of the president of Ukraine (Yushchenko), the president of RF (V.Putin), and the president of Kazakhstan (N.Nazarbaev), who since 2006 has been also appointed as official head of FSU. The ukrainian president (Yushchenko) has just finished the official visit to Kazakhstan (March 6, 2008).

Hence the desired ukrainian connection to NATO (headquarters in Brussels, Belgium, secretary general Y. de Hoop Schaeffer) cannot in any sense be explained by any security reason, in particular by the reason of state security of Ukraine with respect to the possible russian danger. In other words, Ukraine cannot in any sense be considered as some “small innocent lamb” asking for NATO safety protection from its big and native russian mother.

The Kosovo example does not provide any analogy simply because Ukraine is about six times greater in territory and population than current Serbia, and in particular is of about fifty times huger than Kosovo.

The military presence of west-europeans in the regions with originally slavonic local population had been known since Habsburg's empire (XVI-XX AD) and did not imply any positive results for the non-slavonic population also in the future.

So the ongoing NATO operation may be understood as some formal reason explaining forthcoming slavonic expansion (e.g. via NATO).

For example, the ukrainian battalion has been already taking part in the NATO operations in former Yugoslavia, and NATO's officials confirmed that Ukraine has participated in all the NATO operations since 1993 even without joining it.

It is not a secret that ukrainian military industry (including the rocket, aircraft, tank plants) heavily depends on the supply of raw resources, technologies and even some ready modular components from RF. The closest ever existed relations between two countries in economical, political, and military cooperation, the common ethnic, historical, and cultural basis of the corresponding population, and the fact that Ukraine had never been and does not seem to be ever in conflict with the russian state imply that ukrainian attendance to NATO could not be used for the regulation of russian military and other relations via ukrainian state institutions.

To the contrary, only during February 2008, the major ukrainian delegations (president Yushchenko, prime-minister Timoshenko, the recently appointed head of national security and defence council) four times visited Moscow for the formal reason of regulating the gas/fuel transit. Notice that as a result nothing had happened neither with the mentioned transit, nor with the quantity of the fuel being transferred. The possible reasons explaining restoration of the intensive russian-ukrainian governmental cooperation include the necessity to regulate the NATO's extend eastward and to support the suitable east-slavonic candidate from democratic party at the forthcoming presidential elections in USA (November 2008).

Because of the permanent violation of the human rights in Ukraine (via east-slavonic genocide), political and economical crisis, the corresponding ukrainian military presence in europe can be explained only as some suitable form of russian participation in NATO's operations, i.e. of russian military presence in europe.

At the other hand, the widely announced purposes of NATO to "struggle against terrorism", or "to fight against communism" do not admit any criticism.

For example, the peoples of former Yugoslavia (the only one slavic country that had not joined the communist Warsaw Pact Union), and of non-slavic Afghanistan (the country that during 10 years had suffered from the soviet military aggression) had been known to the people worldwide mainly because of the products of their national industries, goods, foods, cultural and people relations, but not for the worldwide export of communism that had been provided, for example, by the countries of the former-soviet union during 70 years.

The population of the modern independent Ukraine, in particular, since 1917 had participated twice in the soviet military occupation of eastern and central europe (1920-1922, 1939-1945) and later took the central part in subsequent "civil occupation" via the marionette communist regimes, e.g. in eastern Germany, etc. It is widely known that simple ukrainians personally participated in the soviet military aggression e.g. in Hungary (1956), Czechia (1968), Afghanistan (1979-1989), etc.

The people know for sure that neither Yugoslavia, nor Afghanistan did not support international terrorism in particular during 1917-1991, when the simple ukrainians took part in the soviet military occupation of Baltic countries, and in the communist repressions inside the rest of USSR resulted in death of tens millions of innocent people who did not want to accept the slavonic communist rule.

It is well-known that the officially declared (Washington, 1949) initial purpose of the newly formed NATO at the moment had been "to fight against communism". However this organization had never participated in real anti-communist operations of USA (e.g. during the korean war, cuban missile crisis, vietnam war, etc.), had never helped to the actual attempts of (slavic) people to get rid of russian/ukrainian-based communist regimes (Hungary 1956, Czechia 1968, Poland 1981, etc). Instead, NATO was actually responsible that Israel, France, and UK began unprepared military operation against Egypt (1956) resulted in the pro-communist slavonic orientation of the country and subsequently of the neighbouring region; that the soviet-based fleet of the newly formed warsaw pact union had escaped to the Atlantic and even nearly reached Cuba (October 1962), resulted in assassination of the anti-communist

president of USA and in subsequent defeat in the vietnam war; that the local citizens of the modern europe are not informed about the nature of different complicated processes actually taking place abroad and at their own home.

The simple consideration based on the elementary logical analysis of the recent history shows via some examples above that NATO already since longtime has not been actually responsible neither for fighting against communism (as the USSR and the Warsaw Pact Union ceased to exist without any external military help), nor for the struggle against terrorism (as some of the new candidates for the NATO membership have been spreading slavonic communism and international terrorism themselves).

If NATO's officials refuse to consider such things as participation in the external military aggression, or in the 70-years long internal communist genocide as manifest of terrorist intentions, than it becomes clear that NATO itself supports international terrorism in the form of military operations against independent countries and their nations, or as export of slavonic ethnic genocide. In particular, organized by NATO current occupation of Afghanistan (responsible former general secretary of NATO (1997-2003), former minister of defence of UK Robertson) is nothing else but the prolongation of the general policy of USSR in the region resulting in nomination of suitable pro-slavonic (russian/ukrainian based) local government.

The methods currently in use by NATO include direct or indirect military aggression, suppression of opposition, command antidemocratic administrative system, and almost complete absence of publicly available true information. The known confirming examples include repressions against journalists around the world (including violation of the human/citizen rights, terrorist accidents, etc.), absence of slogans during the strikes and demonstrations caused by the non-economical reasons, speed of acceptance of the new NATO's members chosen among the countries with majority of the slavonic population, absence of any public discussion or referenda on the subject among the initial NATO members with the majority of non-slavonic population, undeclared in advance ("secret") military operations around the world with visible logical contradiction in purpose (e.g. operations in Yugoslavia, Afghanistan, Iraq, the "See breeze" fleet training (Odessa, July 5-23, 2007) during the synthetic political crisis in Ukraine), support of political repressions and of slavonic genocide in the former-soviet union and worldwide, etc.

Remark that the east-slavic countries in general do not afraid of the people loss, as it is known by the statistics of the mentioned past military conflicts, and is seen via more recent accident statistics, for example, via the August 2000 accident with the russian nuclear submarine in the Barents sea, accident with the hostages release at the "Nord-Ost" staging in Moscow (October 2002), or more recent massacre in the Virginia University (April 17, 2007). This is why any discrete distribution of east-slavons within europe via ukrainian attendance to NATO will not guarantee nothing for the countries with initially non-slavonic population .

At the same time current administration in Washington and UK's labour party (former prime-minister Blair (1997-2007) and current prime-minister former chancellor of the exchequer Brown are holding cabinet already during 11 years) seem only to serve the slavic interests not only at home but also around the world as well by using all the available means, including their influence in NATO, organization for security and cooperation in europe, UN, numerous international commercial and trade structures (such as world trade organization, world bank, etc.). The general tactics to establish necessary east-slavonic orientation of an international organization includes official appointment of ethnic east-slavonic commanders and staff or corruption of the current governing body, following by the complete absence of reliable information on the subject (not provided by the international mass-media).

Because of reactionary role playing by NATO at least in the recent years, certain attempts were made to establish the new international organizations that could be able to hold the situation in europe and worldwide without application of slavonic (east-slavonic) genocide. The modern well-known examples include the United Nations organization (found on 26 June, 1945 in San-Francisco, USA), the North Atlantic Treaty Organization itself (found on 4 April, 1949 in Washington), the European Union (active since January 1, 2000 basing on the Maastricht Treaty agreement of February 7, 1992). The most recent example is the just announced in Hannover (March 4, 2008) the principle claim of the current German chancellor (A.Merkel) and of the recently appointed president of France (N.Sarkozy) to form the new "Mediterranean organization" comprising most of the current EU members. Although there is no detailed information currently available on the subject in Ukraine, there are no reasons to suppose that there is proposed something in principle new. In particular, at the moment of appearance of every new mentioned organization or union, the corresponding protocol was completely free from the slavonic initiatives.

During the recent EU summit (June 21-23, 2007), the current German chancellor (A.Merkel) announced the EU's principal claim to extend eastward which concerns first of all Ukraine (and possibly also the related Belorussia) since all the rest east-european countries are already "incorporated" (i.e. formally included) to EU since the end of December, 2006.

It is obvious that having in mind the only mentioned idea (resulting in complete east-slavonic absorption), current EU/NATO's commanders will not wait until european people personally fill the economical breakdown as the straightforward consequence of the formal extend in territory. So that ukrainian integration (via NATO or alternatively via WTO, etc.) is expected to follow soon (e.g. as an attempt to hide the disastrous results of the previous extend of 2004-2006).

Thus ukrainian "integration" to NATO/EU seems to be initially organized by the Warsaw Pact Union (including east-german state DDR) in order to establish slavonic control over europe and USA. Support of republican party (currently ruling in USA) can be explained not only by the immediate practical use (to achieve victory in the forthcoming presidential elections), but also to use the help of Ukraine in possible forthcoming conflict with Iran. This is why it is necessary to notice that confrontation with Iran had been raised up in 1979 (when Z.Bzhezinsky had been holding the office of head of national security council), and possibly had been organized by UK and USSR in order to provoke the next serious military conflict with participation of USA (since withdrawal from Vietnam could not been named as a "decisive military defeat").

So ukrainian connection to NATO (which in practice means slavonic control) can result either in establishing slavonic control over Iran (the next attempt after unsuccessful Russian-Persian wars of XIX AD and USSR-UK occupation during the II world war), or in the USA military defeat.

Unfortunately, there is not seen any practical use for the non-slavonic citizens of EU or USA.

3. Ukraine is a slavic country

Slavs is the largest ethnic group of peoples in europe. Currently it counts up to 270 mln including more than 190 mln in the former-soviet union. Slavic group is generally classified in three main divisions: the East Slavs (including the Russians, Ukrainians, Belorussians); the South Slavs (including the Croats, Bulgarians, Macedonians, Serbs, Slovenes); and the west Slavs (including mainly the Wends, Poles and Slovaks). According to certain classifications, the Czechs are also related to the west slavs, the Romanians (and related Moldavians) are the south slavs, while some part of east-Poles are related to the ethnic group of the east slavs.

The slavic peoples have related or close one to another languages, religion, national traditions, history, and similar level of human rights of the corresponding absorbed non-slavonic minorities. The Slavic ethnic group existed already several thousands years ago. References to the territories controlled by the slavic tribes could be found e.g. on the map showing the boarders of the ancient Roman Empire. The slavic tribes had initially concentrated around the prehistoric farming settlements along ancient slavic rivers Volga, Don, Dnepr, Donau.

As it is known from the available references in russian (old russian) literature, in IX-XIII AD the territory along the river Dnepr had been divided by some number of self-governed closely related one to another slavic principalities named Kiev Russe. After the Kiev Russe had been destroyed as a result of Mongol invasion in XII-XIV AD, the territories along Dnepr during several centuries had been controlled by the so-called cossacks. The cossacks (later known as ukrainian cossacks, while the Don cossacks had controlled the territories along the river Don in modern Russia) were self-organized military or semi-military riding or sailing troops, usually acting under the unique commander (get'man) traditionally elected by majority of cossacks or their representatives at the special meetings. The ukrainian cossacks' military troops were prepared for the permanent military service in times of war and peace as well, manned with the pure ethnic slavonic local population, did not admit any foreign volunteers, and usually obeyed the orders of a russian tsar. They had been fighting as cavalry troops on land or as a river fleet of small vessel boats along Dnepr. During some period (XVII-XVIII AD) the basic cossacks' settlement had been at the island Hortiza, later russian Aleksandrovska, renamed to Zaporizhie in 1921 when it countered about 45 thousand inhabitants (currently there are monuments to Lenin and Dzerzhinsky in center of the modern town).

Natural exchange had been the basic principle in economics of the region until XIX AD. Notice that all the corresponding slavic population had been involved in the mentioned "cossack" military service. In other words, there were no "civil ukrainians" during at least several centuries.

The modern ukrainian language belongs to the slavic group of languages spoken in the eastern europe. The corresponding phonetic and lexical structure is close to that of the russian and polish languages. The alphabet is cyrillic and differs from the russian alphabet only by several letters. The cyrillic alphabet is also in use in the Belorussian, Kazakh and also some other slavic languages (the Kazakh language had been developed at the literature level only in the second part of XIX AD). In polish language there is in use the roman alphabet.

The title "Ukraine" was initially formed possibly from the word [kraina](ukr)-country, or [okraina](rus)-edge (boarder region). Notice also [ukral](rus)-seized. The list of the previous titles of the corresponding territories includes such as "Kiev Russe" (IX-XIV AD), "Borderland" (XV-XVIII AD), "Malorussia" (or "Little Russia", XIX AD), "Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic" (1922-1991).

Kharkov had been the first capital of Ukraine since 1922 and until 1934, when the capital's functions had been transferred to Kiev.

The religion of the majority of the Ukrainian native population is "pravoslavie", or "the ukrainian orthodox church" (subdivided in several autonomous confessions) that is relative to the so-called "russian orthodox church", initially accepted in Visantia in 988 by Vladimir I, Duke of Kiev. Notice that during the soviet period atheism had been officially recommended by the state for all the citizens also of Ukrainian Soviet Republic. "Pravoslavie" is specific not only for the east slavs.

The main ukrainian traditional holidays, such as slavic Easter (usually beginning of May), and slavic Christmas (January 7) are the same as in Russia. Ukrainian specific, recently appeared holidays include the Independence Day (August 24, 1991) and the Day of Constitution (June 28, 1996). "The Red Holiday" (November 7, the anniversary of the so-called "The Great October Socialist Revolution") had been celebrated together with Russia in 1922-1991.

During all the observable historical period the political system of Ukraine had always been equal to the political system of the respective Russian State. Moreover, since 1714 (when house of Hannover was officially appointed in London, and the title of the country was changed to "the United Kingdom") and until 1917 the territory of the modern Ukraine had never been mentioned as something separate from existing at the moment Russian Empire.

In particular, Since 1709 (Poltava battle decisively won by Russia), in all the subsequent military conflicts, the majority of ukrainian military troops had always been fighting as russian or allied to the russian state forces, in particular during the French-Russian war (1812-1815), Crimean war (1853-1856), Russian-Turkish wars (XVIII-XIX), Russian-Persian wars (1804-1813, 1826-1828), Russian-Japan war (1904-1905), I world war (1914-1918), II world war (1939-1945), Vietnam war (1954-1975), Soviet-Afghan war (1979-1989).

Those military opponents of Russia who relied on the modern Ukraine as on ally, or simply used anyway any help of Ukraine, had never reached any success. The well-known examples include the Northern war (1700-1721), the II world war, and the more recent conflicts as well (e.g. the recent russian-chechen war).

This is why the modern Ukraine may be considered and sometimes is named as "south-west russia". This fact is not widely known and currently is not everywhere explained possibly because of the reasons described in part in the present paper.

Certain territorial autonomy had been obtained by Ukraine only in 1922 as a result of russian revolution (1917) and subsequent civil war (1917-1922) in Russia that had been organized by the "bolsheviks" party directed by V.Lenin (Ul'anov) (after sudden death in 1924 was succeeded by I.Stalin (Dzhugashvili)).

Notice that the most important in the russian civil war military campaign of 1918/1919 had been decisively won by the Red Army because the UK's government decided to stop the military aid to the White Army (commanded by general A.Denikin) as a result of a letter (published in Finland) of Rodzyanko (former-speaker of russian parliament and A.Denikin's official assistant in the white-russian south army) in which Rodzyanko informed the western community about the plans of the newly formed white-russian government for the separate peace agreement with Germany.

Without support, the army commanded by gen. Denikin was stopped by the Red Army near Tsaritzin (later Stalingrad) and had to retreat. The communist revolt of November 1917 had thus been defended by the Red Army and became inevitable. The separate "Treaty of Versailles" was signed later between Antanta (represented by Lloyd George(UK) and Klemenco) and Germany (May 1919, Versailles, France). Berlin was captured by the Red Army in 1921 in spite of the Brest-Litovsk separate peace agreement with Lenin's government signed by Germany in March 1918.

As a result of subsequent secret soviet-nazi "Molotov-Ribbentrop's" pact (signed in August, 1939 in USSR), the Baltic states (Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia) had lost their independence for 50 years, while several regions of eastern Poland (among them modern Lviv) had been annexed by USSR and since that time composed the western part of the Ukrainian Soviet Republic, and later of the independent Ukraine. It may be important also to know that since Vienna congress (1815) and until communist revolution (1917) the slavic Poland, and also non-slavic Finland composed the western edges of Russian Empire, so the corresponding regions of the modern western Ukraine had been a true part of the russian state during about 150 years. The rest regions of Ukraine had been an actual part of the united russian state for about 300 years (formally since 1721), while the eastern regions (located eastward from Dnepr) during at least previous 1000 years (formally since 862AD) had composed some number of semi-independent one from another russian principalities.

Notice that as a result of the russian revolution of 1917, both Poland and Finland (and nobody else) had gained formal interest as independence from the russian state.

After sudden death of I.Stalin (Dzhugashvili) in March 1953, and until the USSR self-dissolved in December 1991 (as soon as four former-soviet republics, Russian Federation, Ukraine, Belorussia and Kazakhstan signed the so-called Belovege pact at the territory of Belorussia), the leader of the soviet union state had always been a russian or ukrainian general secretary of the communist party.

In particular, in 1954 (when the first secretary of CPSU was N.Khrushchov) the Crimean peninsula joined the Ukrainian Soviet Republic as an autonomous region.

Subsequently, the author of the well-known "perestroika" (economical reconstruction) that finished the 70-years history of USSR, M.Gorbachev was appointed as the general secretary of the Communist Party of Soviet Union only after sudden death of former general secretary Chernenko (1984-1985).

After the KGB coup took place on August 19-21, 1991 (in Crimea and in Moscow), and the Communist Party of Ukraine declared independence of the new ukrainian state, there had not been held any punishing actions neither against soviet KGB, nor against former representatives of KGB. To the contrary, while the former first secretary of Ukrainian Communist Party L.Kravchuk had been holding the office until 1994 as the president of independent Ukraine, the former KGB employers had been working on for the newly formed ukrainian security service and later had got the major positions in the country. To compare, the former general secretary of CPSU, M.Gorbachev immediately after formal defeat of the KGB coup dissolved the communist party, leaving the simple citizens "one to one" with KGB, a representative of which in 2000 succeeded the position of the president of RF, and in 2004 won the second term of the presidential elections in RF (with 70% of votes). However, this is not a record in the Russian State. For example, in the Soviet Union's elections of the deputies to the supreme council, which at the special congress determined the head of the supreme council (analogue of the president, who usually was appointed at the same time as the general secretary of the communist party), the ruling communist party's candidates used to receive not less than 99% of votes. Notice that in Russian Federation, as well as in Ukraine, the current electoral system corresponds to the general, equal, secret, and direct voting right for all the citizens over 18 (to compare, the electoral system in USA corresponds to the indirect voting right, and the president is not necessarily desired by the majority of citizens). The main difference can be seen by the observation that while in the Russian Federation the monuments of the first head of soviet security service F.Dzerzhinsky (1917-1923) had been removed immediately after the KGB coup (August 1991), in the independent Ukraine the monuments of Lenin and Dzerzhinsky are still the most memorable architectural objects of the soviet epoch around the country.

Currently the political system of Ukraine remains unstable and depends on the import of capital. The active political struggle between different political parties seems to take place only for the sake of control over the western investors (and also over transit of russian raw to europe) that could bring additional income. Moreover, at the moment

there does not exist not a single political party representing interests of the non-slavonic minorities in Ukraine (possibly except Crimea, that is actually the russian autonomy with the RF's Black Sea fleet base in Sebastopol).

The territory of the modern Ukraine is of 603.7 thousand square kilometers, the population composed 52.1 millions in 1999 with the absolute majority (more than 95%) of the native east-slavons. Ukraine is the largest comparing with the countries of europe counting the territory, and the fourth largest (after Germany, France and UK) counting the population (however, population of UK has inhomogeneous structural content). To compare, another slavic country Yugoslavia countered in 1984 about 255.8 thousand square kilometers and about 23 millions of population (of inhomogeneous structural content), however Yugoslavia did not sign the warsaw pact.

Population of Ireland composed about 5.05 mln in 1999 (calculated together with population of Northern Ireland), with the territory of about 84.5 thousand square kilometers in total.

At the same time Ukraine is holding one of the last positions in europe (possibly except Belorussia and some countries of former Yugoslavia) for the medium salary (equivalent of \$250 in March 2007 according to the official information), minimal salary (equivalent of \$84 in April 2007), and the total national income calculated with respect to an individual citizen (about \$6.4 in 2004). In 2004 national product was counted as \$64.8 bill (to compare, \$242.3 bill during the same period in Poland with population of about 38.4 mln (1999)). Unemployment seems to be sufficiently high (at least 8.6% in 2005), however the precise statistics is unknown: the state does not pay any compensation to the citizens under 60 years old. The inflation composed 11.6% in 2006 (official estimate), and more than 20% in 2007 (the non-official information confirmed by a simple price test). According to the estimates of the European bank of reconstruction and development, in 2007 there was expected not more than 5.6% growth of national product with the budget deficit not less than 2.7%. The medium life duration in Ukraine in 2004 was about 66 years.

The industry had essentially been built during the soviet period and includes the aircraft and the tank plants (Kharkov) and the rocket plant (Dnepropetrovsk). However recently (on January 31, 2007) ukrainian rocket "Zenith" (made for NATO "Sea Launch" space program) explored at the launch table in Pacific having destroyed the Holland's satellite (the second accident with the ukrainian rocket during the sea launch program). In July 2006 another rocket "Dnepr" (ukrainian modification of the soviet ballistic missile RS-20) crashed in 72 seconds after launch from Bayconur with 18 space satellites aboard (including Italian and US ones). Previous year had been mentioned also with the catastrophe of the aircraft An-124 (built of late in Kharkov) of the "Air Kazakhstan" airlines.

The industry of Ukraine essentially depends on import and transit to europe of the Russia's (in perspective, of Kazakhstan's) oil and gas. However it should be mentioned that Ukraine itself has huge supply of coal and of some other raw resources. The Donbass coal mines supply the country and provide coal for export. The hydroelectric and nuclear power stations (that had been built during soviet period) supply the energy system of the country and produce electric energy for export. There is the developed industry for extraction and output of the iron and manganese ore (Krivoy Rog, Nicopol), etc.

It is necessary to notice that the transit of fuel resources from Russia to EU is also possible avoiding the transfer via ukrainian territory (e.g. via existing oil route through Belorussia, or via the Baltic sea /Black sea oil routes that are currently under construction).

Ukraine was originally the agricultural country, with the food industry supplying the country and offering the food production for export. However it is necessary to be careful buying the food in the markets.

Formally, the current economical system of Ukraine may be characterized as a slavonic capitalism. The attractive conditions for the western investors' billions dollars are compensated by certain restrictions and limitations accepted for the non-slavonic citizens. In particular, the land-sell is not free and is regulated by the state, etc. All that and also the existing slavonic race discrimination allow to conclude that currently there is no such a "free market" economy in Ukraine as, for example, there is in the western europe.

The "slavonic capitalism" means that the basic principle determining both economical and social development of a society is exploitation of the modern western technologies, developments, resources and capital, first of all of the originally non-slavonic countries. In particular, during more than seventy years of soviet regime, the official soviet propaganda had educated more than three generations comprising hundreds millions of so-called "soviet people"

(slavonic and non-slavonic citizens of satellite soviet republics as well), basing on rejection and total prohibition of the basic values, principles, achievements and products of the western democratic societies (i.e. of the non-slavonic ones). And most of these values, achievements and products measured in still full convertible international currency, at once became available for some of the mentioned former-soviet people for free and at zero expense. The new ukrainians, in particular, will never believe in any ethnic equity or moral principles that had been declared by the political propaganda of the so-called "western democratic societies" (i.e. of the countries with the non-slavonic majority of population), after billions dollars have been already received for free and in order to control the mentioned principles' owners together with the rest of their property.

To understand the standard (east-)slavonic point of view on cooperation and relations with non-slavic people(s) it may be useful to mention that a non-slavic male just walking around (i.e. spending only some limited amount of money) in a (east-)slavic country (city) is usually considered as a terrorist or at least as a spy.

Ukraine, as east-slavic country, has never been and currently is not in conflict with the russian state. Moreover, it always had and currently has the full-scale support of Russia, which is guaranteed "free of charge" each time any criticism appears anywhere in mass-media. Hence Ukraine does not need any help in any sense with respect to the possibly existing "russian danger". Since ukrainian economics is weak to compare with the central and western european countries, the plan of ukrainian integration to EU cannot be understood as nothing else but as invitation to exploit something already achieved by the non-slavonic peoples of the developed european countries, and moreover for actual absorption of these countries and respective peoples by actually united (russian/ukrainian-based) former-soviet union. The unification pact (initially known as Belovege agreement signed in December 1991) was confirmed e.g. on May 9-11, 2005 in Moscow by all former-soviet republics, possibly except Lithuania. Notice also that Lithuania and Georgia (soviet republics until 1991) more recently (May 12-13, 2007) signed an agreement with Ukraine in Krakov (Poland), which formally concerned fuel transit from asian regions of the former soviet union (Azerbaijan and Kazakhstan) and also possibly from Iran to Poland (as for Lithuania, it could be caused by deficit of fuel in the country). However since the latter agreement was officially signed, the violation of human rights in Ukraine increased, so that pact possibly concerns not only transit of fuel and gas. This is why the recent plans of USA concerning anti-missile treaty with Poland may be compared with possible decision to place some defence rockets in Iran (remind that 1979-1980 crisis with american hostages had happened when Z.Bzhezinsky had been officially holding the office of the head of security council of USA). This means that simple american citizens are actually not interested in the slavonic initiatives of NATO.

As a part of USSR, Ukraine together with Russian Federation composed the so-called Warsaw Pact Union, the official communist counterpart of NATO, that comprised all the slavic countries (except Yugoslavia) until USSR ceased to exist in 1991.

Notice that while Yugoslavia had never signed a pact with USSR, and the attempts of the peoples of Hungary (1956) and of Czech Republic (1968) to withdraw from the warsaw pact union were suppressed by means of the soviet military intervention, the polish government of general Z.Jaruselsky suppressed in 80s the anticommunist "Solidarity" opposition (commanded by L.Valensa) without any help of USSR. In 1995 presidential elections, Valensa was defeated by "the democratic left alliance" commanded by A.Kwasnewsky, who after polish attend to NATO (March 1999), and subsequently to EU (May 2004) was replaced (2005) as a president by L.Kachinsky, commander of political force "right end justice".

Notice that the non-slavic Baltic states were annexed in 1940 by USSR as the autonomous republics. Recently Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia declared their territories had been under soviet occupation during 1945-1991.

At the same time, in the referendum took place in April 1991 (several months after soviet attack on the TV center in Riga), the absolute majority of ukrainian population voted for the existing at the moment Soviet Union, and hence for ukrainian membership in the warsaw pact union, as well.

Therefore it should be mentioned that there is an actual possibility to stay loyal to NATO without joining it. For example, Israel has always been allied to NATO and (at least sometimes) supports east-slavonic genocide. In spite of its non-attendance to the ongoing Iraq conflict (since March 18, 2003), and catastrophic Lebanon events in summer 2006, for everyone acquainted with the internal political, economical and military situation, the six days war of 1967 was definitely not the last army conflict Israel took part at least for the nearest future.

Obviously, after 70 years of communist regime simple change (or remove) of a cabinet or a parliament cannot change neither economical situation nor political orientation of a country. It is clear that the resources of non-formal former-soviet union, especially in territory, population and raw materials are sufficient for its (desirable) expansion to the west, e.g. via the mentioned EU integration of Ukraine. Therefore ukrainian connection to NATO is the main step of the announced "integration", or in other words of forthcoming (east-) slavonic absorption of europe, since it inevitably implies russian/ukrainian (east-slavonic) control over important processes in Europe, such as employment, education, religion, and even the sex relations of non-slavonic people.

Remark. It should be mentioned that modern Austria is also situated along the upper part of one of the slavic rivers (Donau), and at least since 1526 (when it had been officially united with west-slavic Hungary as Habsburg's empire) it has always supported very close relations with the west-slavic, and (subsequently) also with east-slavic peoples (currently especially with Ukraine). Although during the so called "war for the spanish succession" (and as a result of the Northern war 1700-1721) Austria had lost almost all of the previously conquered vast territories in europe and overseas, the Habsburg's empire ceased to exist only as a result of the I world war. As it is well-known (from the east-slavic references), under Austria's responsibility the I world war started by Germany, and under austrian commander (A.Hitler) Europe had been occupied during the II world war. All this allow to consider Austria as some "secret" slavic state. This assumption makes possible not only to explain certain events already happened in the past, but also to predict the new features, such as the recent one-minute process(es) of "euro-extension" and "NATO-extension" to the east, organized by currently ruling in USA republican party.

4. Some further perspectives

The recent "d'accord" agreement between NATO-oriented coalition, and opposition (that is based on the electorate of russian-speaking eastern regions of Ukraine) was signed in the morning, March 6, 2008, just before the beginning of the parallel NATO meeting in Brussels which should issued the preliminary list of countries considered for immediate acceptance as a part of general process of "NATO's extension to the east".

Although Ukraine was not included as "a today's candidate" to join NATO, and the question of the corresponding attendance should be in advance decided by the ukrainian referendum according to the mentioned parliamentary agreement, even the described by the ukrainian mass-media stage of parliamentary consideration itself allows to understand, that the corresponding process of the "NATO-integration" has in fact some other initial reasons, rather than simple political struggle.

Both power coalition (supports political course of integration to EU via NATO) and opposition (formally protests against immediate attend to NATO) have the electorate basis of similar or closely related one to another ethnic content. The difference between russian and ukrainian languages is insignificant and cannot provide any reliable basis for serious political confrontation. In other words, any progress in the international military or trade cooperation, which does not follow the real visible achievements in economics and social life inside the country, is accepted by the closely related ukrainian and russian people as success of the slavonic ethnic genocide policy carrying out by the current power.

Slavonic (east-slavonic) ethnic genocide is thus understood to be spreading by means of NATO for export to the countries of western europe and overseas with the originally non-slavonic native population. The modern process of capitalization, or transition from socialist-type economics (developed by the USSR) to the capitalist-type economics (necessary for EU-integration currently supported by NATO) thus is seen by slavonic people as a simple way to get a lot of money actually for nothing. After money received, the western organizers should be ready to discover the new skylines attractive for east-slavonic population.

The main logical contradiction of the modern process of "eurointegration" is that ukrainian national communism (as a specific feature for the region at least for the past several hundred years) is being used to develop the economics that is based on completely different principles and is specific for the nations of different kind.

Genocide means systematic and deliberate destruction of a racial, ethnic or other (e.g. political) group or its particular representatives in times of war or peace.

The feature (**east-slavonic genocide**) currently concerns first of all outstanding male representative(s) of some non-slavic minority(ies), primarily those who have already achieved (or able to achieve) distinguished results in any field of the human science.

Minority is called a racial, ethnic, or other (e.g. political) group having neither an independent country, nor a political autonomy within another independent country willing to protect political, human, and social rights of the group and of its individual representatives. (For example, armenians and azerbaijanians are not "the minorities" since 1922).

Slavonic genocide in particular means that the national product, state security and general services are provided (distributed) at first instance to the representatives of national (slavonic) ethnic group(s) or only to them without reference to the monetary exchange (in other words, there exists the so-called "double standard" of life that is usually not announced by local media).

It should be mentioned that in fact the true repressions against at least some outstanding non-slavonic (non-russian) citizens took place (and currently are taking place) in the modern independent Ukraine as a part of general east-slavonic genocide. If you are non-slavonic (i.e. non-ukrainian and non-russian) male with outstanding at the moment abilities, it does not matter, which education, employment, social or financial status you have got, what are you doing or what suppose to do. Sooner or later you will meet your civil and human rights be violated even without any visible reason, your income will not in any sense correspond to your actual activity and to your professional skills, your social achievements will reach certain level far below the one common for your slavonic acquaintances, and moreover if you are sufficiently talented and strong to overcome surrounding barriers you will inevitably find yourself under pressure of inhuman racial discrimination of the corresponding slavic state (including modern Ukraine since 1993 and possibly Belorussia), which could be explained as "east-slavonic russian-based political genocide". For example, if you are a non-slavonic scientist with outstanding research results, you may be recognized as having a mental disease. If you have achievements in sports, you may suddenly find yourself imprisoned even without a legal reason, or also catch some specific disease. The mentioned in part process (of violation of human rights of non-slavonic minorities) is not anywhere described as far and becomes known to the people abroad only by the resulting events mainly as death, accidental or criminal statistics.

However there are all the reasons to suppose that the situation is at least not better also in some officially foreign to Ukraine countries. For example, some known facts allow to be sure that for United Kingdom, the corresponding feature (slavonic genocide) is known at least to the official representatives of current government that is controlled by the so-called labour party since 1997. The mentioned process (slavonic genocide) implies extremely serious consequences for hundreds millions of non-slavonic european people in their everyday life and for all their further generations as well, at the same time remaining undescribed by the international mass-media and hence possibly unknown to the mentioned people. Therefore it may be caused by certain objective reasons that could not be described in the present article because the author had no opportunity to visit the country (e.g. the mentioned country currently or since longtime is controlled or even occupied by slavonic, etc.). Obviously absence of reliable information in the english-speaking mass-media cannot be considered as serious argument. However, in spite of absence of rigorous analysis on the subject the arguments briefly discussed above allow to suppose that official position of UK on certain questions (e.g. concerning human rights of non-slavonic minorities) should be considered only from the point of view of political and military power it represents in the corresponding international organizations (such as NATO, organization for security and cooperation in Europe, UN, EU, etc). Notice that UK at least since 1714 and until recently had been controlled by the so-called "Hannover royal house", and there had never been made publicly available not a single detailed report; neither rigorous analysis nor a complete description on the corresponding activity had never been published.

The more known to the people living outside of Ukraine are recent murders of former president and active candidate from opposition at the forthcoming presidential elections in Pakistan B.Bhutto (November 18, 2007), of Sweden minister of foreign affairs A.Lund (September 15, 2003), of Sweden prime-minister U.Palme (1989), the earlier assassination of prime minister of India I.Gandhy (1984), sudden death of president of France F.Mitterand just before presidential elections in France (1995), sudden death of Princess Diana in car accident in Paris (France, 1997), etc.

Less known is the corresponding death or accidental statistics concerning simple non-slavonic citizens (i.e. those who did not take part in any political activity), e.g. of specialists in mathematical physics C.Itzykson (France, 1995), M.Flato, editor in chief of "Letters in Mathematical Physics" (France, 1998), T.Kato (California, 1999), etc.

Because of the described in part reasons, it becomes evident and therefore should be obligatory announced that instead of guaranteeing protection and safety for non-slavonic people it had been created for, NATO now is bringing for them only east-slavonic (e.g. ukrainian) genocide, and hence is possibly controlled by the well-known warsaw pact union (which never lost a battle after it had appeared in 1956).

The briefly analyzed political and economical situation in Ukraine show evidence that the recent (signed on January 15, 2008) letter of current state leaders of Ukraine expressing their will for Ukraine to join NATO (not supported by the current constitution) may result, in case of acceptance of the subsequent official application for NATO membership (or for "NATO mambership actions plan", etc.), in the victory of east-slavonic state fascist regime in the country (similar to one had been established in Spain in March 1939).

Remark 1.

The author's invited legal visit to EU was not permitted in February 2000, without any explanation. The official invitation from the organizers of scientific conference (Berlin, March 2000), hotel and air-ticket reservations were cancelled.

The author's immigration to Israel was made impossible by violation of his human rights in 1998/1999 (travel-expenses, including airway round-trip tickets and hotel accommodation were personally paid on his own account, immigration premium was returned before departure, invoice N180276/180277 of February 16, 1999).

Remark 2.

Author was born in 1969 in USSR, he has had only adopted relatives in law who had been teachers of mathematics in a technical institute and who were/was employed also by soviet KGB (immigrated to Israel in 1998).

M.Sc. defended in 1990 ("excellent"), Kharkov University (USSR), PhD in mathematical physics defended in 1994 ("with honour"), University Paris 7 (France). Nine scientific publications, five in english after 1993, unpublished new research results (rigorous proof for the Anderson-Mott conjecture) after 1997.

The research results are currently available in part via electronic preprint archives (USA).